## Key outcomes

The quality of the Initial CPD submissions received this year was substantially better than previous years however a smaller percentage of registrants provided additional evidence. Sixty nine percent (69%) passed the audit. Fifty percent (50%) of registrants passed the first assessment without any further evidence.

- 56 registrants were requested for audit
- 10 registrants did not submit
- 28 passed first assessment
- 11 passed with additional evidence
- 8 registrants failed to provide more evidence



**69% pass**

■ Passed  ■ Did not submit

## Outstanding CPD Portfolios

This year the panel found three submissions that were worthy of commendation and recommendation. Twelve of the submissions were considered good or really good.

## CPD Webinars and Mentoring

A CPD webinar was run for registrants who had been selected for audit. This was repeated for registrants who failed the first submissions and required more evidence.

Of the six registrants who requested a mentor after the initial audit, five of these submitted additional information and passed the re-audit.

The other did not respond to e-mail advice from mentor or re-submit evidence.



■ Mentoring  ■ Webinar  ■ No Contact

## Submission Breakdown

The quality of the CPD submissions is usually dependent on the quality of reflection for each activity recorded. Each year we emphasise the importance of reflection on the benefits for each CPD activity undertaken. The breakdown of the 1st submissions received this year(left) when compared with those received last year(right) shows a considerable improvement on reflection Standard 3.

A Registrant must seek to ensure that CPD activity has contributed to the quality of their practice and service delivery and Standard 4.

A registrant must seek to ensure that their CPD benefits the service user.

**2019 standards met**



**2018 standards met**

## Pass rates dropped off

Each previous year we have seen improvements in the quality of the CPD submissions received. Analysis of the pass rate shows a drop this year.

It was disappointing that the number of candidates either failing to submit evidence in the first instance or failing to provide further evidence increased this year.

With access to webinars prior to audit there was an improvement in those who passed first time, updated website CPD pages and mentoring offered to registrants.

The audit panel was very encouraged by the submissions this year and the excellent quality of CPD evidence and recording.

## Audit response dropped